

Minutes from the August 2025 meeting of the Forum for INTOSAI Professional Pronouncements
(FIPP)

<p>FIPP has decided to conduct shorter, more frequent web-based FIPP meetings in addition to a reduced number of FIPP in-person meetings, in order to be agile regarding the FIPP’s assigned tasks</p>		
<p><u>Meeting days</u> Tuesday 12 August 12-16:00 (CEST) Thursday 14 August 12:00-16:00 CEST</p>		
Agenda Item	Purpose	Record
<p>Introduction</p>		
<p>Introduction to the FIPP meeting August 2025</p>	<p>For information</p>	<p>The FIPP Chair welcomed everyone to the meeting and gave an overview of the agenda. The agenda for the meeting included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Project proposal for the G-initiative - Issue paper from the P-initiative - FIPP report - Information from FIPP Chair - Information from PSC Chair
<p>Project Proposal / Exposure Draft / Endorsement version submitted from Goal Chair for discussion / appraisal</p>		
<p>Discussion on the G-initiative Project proposal</p>	<p>To discuss/appraise/approve according to FIPP Working Procedures and drafting conventions</p>	<p>The project proposals of the A-, I-, T- initiatives were approved following the June 2025 FIPP meeting. The G-initiative Project proposal had been less discussed and was hence less developed and some adjustments were required. To address this, a meeting was held on 23 June between the Project group, the FIPP LO and the secretariat to provide FIPP’s comments. The main message was to limit activities to avoid exceeding the scope of this initiative.</p> <p>The revised Project proposal for the G- initiative was circulated in advance of the August FIPP meeting.</p> <p>FIPP discussed the Project proposal. Many issues in the feedback from FIPP were addressed, but there were still a few issues that will need to be clarified before FIPP can proceed with approval. This includes amongst other things, to what extent the project group should consult with external stakeholders or other standard setters, what output should be subject to Due process, the interlinkages with the other projects, the need for a stakeholder communication strategy.</p> <p>It was agreed that the FIPP LO would schedule a meeting to discuss the proposal and provide detailed feedback on the document to the project group</p>
<p>The SDG initiatives</p>		

<p>ISSUE paper from the P initiative</p>	<p>For FIPP to discuss and give feedback to the project group</p>	<p>The P-initiative has conducted preparatory work and explored different options for revising the P-documents. Based on this work, an Issue paper was developed to outline the rationale, risks and implications of each option, to receive input from FIPP to enable the project group to finalize the project proposal. The paper was submitted to FIPP ahead of the August meeting</p> <p>The project group was invited to the meeting, where the project lead, Keatlegile Ndimande presented the Issue paper. Three options were presented for FIPP Consideration:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Keep the INTOSAI-Ps unchanged, but add explanatory notes to clarify purpose, history and terminology. 2. In addition to explanatory notes, make minor updates to align with current standards and address duplications. 3. Undertake structural rewrites and potential consolidation of overlapping INTOSAI-Ps <p>Within the project group there was general agreement on the need for enhanced clarity but differing views on how to achieve it.</p> <p>FIPP’s discussion of the issue paper, included the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Option 1 was considered inconsistent with the SDP’s ambition to arrive at a clear, consolidated and updated set of documents. - The nature and sensitivity of the INTOSAI P documents vary, which may require that they are treated differently - In line with the SDP, and to ensure factual basis for decisions, it was discussed that it is necessary to conduct the analysis outlined by the SDP as a step 1, to determine the actual use of P1 and how changes might affect operations on the ground. This might be done through surveys, roundtables or other consultations. - Option 3 was viewed as most consistent with the SDP, as it allows for possible consolidation of principles - A modified version of Option 3, providing flexibility to treat certain documents differently, based on the outcome of the step 1 analysis might be necessary to get rid of inconsistencies. <p>It was agreed that the project group should begin developing the project proposal, incorporating the step 1 analysis. FIPP, through the LO, will be available for consultation whenever needed.</p>
<p>FIPP report</p>		
<p>FIPP report to the PSC SC</p>	<p>Agreement on an adjusted format and content of the FIPP report, to provide the PSC-SC with a relevant report that enables them to carry out their governance function towards FIPP.</p>	<p>A new template for the FIPP report was developed by the FIPP Vice Chair and presented in the June FIPP meeting. The purpose of the revised format is to make the report more strategic and interactive, shifting its focus from primarily providing information to serving as a more governance-oriented tool. FIPP endorsed the new format, and a draft report was developed by the FIPP Vice Chair and circulated ahead of the August meeting.</p> <p>At the August meeting, the Vice-Chair presented the new draft report for 2024-25, which included sections on</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Issues for PSC consideration - Risk management - Challenges and lessons learned - Stakeholder engagement and feedback - A project performance dashboard - Resource and capacity analysis - External evaluation and benchmarking

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Future outlook and recommendations. <p>FIPP approved the new format and agreed on the draft content of the report. FIPP members will send any further suggestions for improvements and updates to the Vice Chair by email. The Vice Chair will incorporate this feedback and finalise the report for presentation at the PSC SC meeting in September</p>
Information from FIPP Chair		
Information from the FIPP chair	Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The FIPP Chair informed that she will be on study leave for the next three months and that the Vice-Chair, Mahmood Hashim will be acting in her absence.
Information from PSC Secretariat		
Information from the PSC Secretariat		
Concluding the meeting		
Summary of key decisions in the minutes	FIPP Chair	As recorded in the meeting

Annex 1 – Participants of the FIPP meeting

12 August 2025

FIPP members present at the meeting

Åse-Kristin Hemsén (Chair)
Mahmood Mahmood (Vice-Chair)
Gerhard Ross
Hilal Huseynov
Jared Nyasani
Jane Meade
Jari Sanaskoski
Josephine Mukomba
Kristen Kociolek
Monica Rajamanohar
Tiago Costa
Toma Donchev

FIPP members absent at the meeting

Aicha Benbelhassen
Faizy Mansoury

FIPP Technical Assistant

Tove Myklebust
Miroslav Ivanov
Lise M. Styrk Hansen

INTOSAI Sec

Andrea

P project leads (from 12.30h)

P-Initiative: Keatlegile Ndimande, Cobus Botes,
Senzo Dlamini

14 August 2025

FIPP members present at the meeting

Åse-Kristin Hemsén (Chair)
Mahmood Mahmood (Vice-Chair)
Faizy Mansoury (from 12:45)
Hilal Huseynov
Jared Nyasani
Jane Meade
Jari Sanaskoski
Josephine Mukomba (from 12:45)
Kristen Kociolek
Tiago Costa
Toma Donchev (from 13:15)

FIPP members absent at the meeting

Aicha Benbelhassen
Archana Shirsat
Chandra Bhandari
Gerhard Ross
Monica Rajamanohar

FIPP Technical Assistant

Tove Myklebust
Miroslav Ivanov
Lise M. Styrk Hansen

PSC Observers

Jefferson Lima de Sousa

KSC Observer/G-initiative

Sreeraj Ashok

SDP project leads (from 13h)

P-Initiative: Keatlegile Ndimande, Cobus
Botes, Senzo Dlamini