

Project Proposal

SAI India

This form is used to stand as a record of the proposal from the project team.

PART A: PROJECT IDENTITY

Description	Information
Project number	2.7. Consolidating and aligning Guidance on audits of Privatization with ISSAI 100
and title as per SDP	
Working title(s) for	Guidance for Audit of Privatization (herein after – Guidance / GUID)
the new	
pronouncement(s)	
Project aim	The purpose of the project is to migrate the existing pronouncement on audit of privatisation, i.e., ISSAI 5210 "Guidelines on Best Practice for the Audit of Privatisation" from the old framework to the new framework, i.e. IFPP as guidance for subject specific audits. The existing ISSAIs are to be restructured and redrafted by consolidating the relevant parts of the text and updating with additional inputs, to provide comprehensive guidance through a step-by-step approach which is specific to the audit of privatisation, in order to assist auditors in the audit of privatisation projects and enable them to give an assurance in a reliable manner. The pronouncement will cover direct reporting engagement only. The project's main focus will be on performance audit. However, compliance audit aspects will be covered where relevant. Another important focus of the project will be on the planning in particular the identification of risks particular to the subject matter and on possible audit objectives and audit questions such an audit could cover.
Project objectives	 The Project intends to provide methodological support to SAIs and develop capacities through the development of a comprehensive GUID on audit of privatisation: By aligning the GUID with ISSAI 100. By consolidating the existing information on the audit of Privatisation and updating the same while developing the GUID. By elaborating on the general and specific principles, framework, approaches and procedures for auditing privatisation of State-owned Enterprises. By evolving comprehensive step-by-step guidance for each stage of the audit engagement, covering the entire life-cycle of such audit which is specific to the audit of privatisation, however the main focus will be on the planning stage. By considering the differences in both auditing arrangements as well as institutional structures, in the sector across countries. By conforming with the drafting conventions for GUIDs and the supplementary FIPP guidance on subject-matter specific GUIDs.
Project duration	January 2019 – April 2021 (28 months)

25 July 2018

Project Proposal

Name of the lead WG ¹	Working Group on Privatiz	ation (WG-Priv)					
Key contacts	Name	Surname	Address	Email	Office Phone	Business Mobile Phone	Organization / Sponsoring SAI
Project Group lead	Prachi	Pandey	Office of the Pr. Director of Commercial Audit and MAB I 3 rd Floor, A Wing Indraprastha Bhavan, IP Estate, New Delhi - 2	prachip@cag.gov.in	+91-11- 23370530	+91-9902888384	SAI India
Contact person for the goal chair		Tiwari	Director General (International Relations), O/o Comptroller and Auditor General of India, 9, DeenDayal Upadhyaya Marg,	<u>tiwaripk@cag.gov.in</u>	+91-11- 23237822		SAI India

¹ For those "type A" projects where an existing working group/subcommittee is considered to have "natural ownership" of the project

25 July 2018			Project Proposal				SAI In	
			New Delhi-					
			110124					
			(INDIA)					
FIPP liaison officer	Gerhard	Ross	Director - gerhard.re	oss@eca.europa.eu	+352	+352621552988	European	
			Audit -		4398-		Court of	
			Chamber 2		45988		Auditors	
			Directorate -					
			Investment					
			for cohesion,					
			growth and					
			inclusion					
			12, rue Alcide					
			De Gasperi - L-					
			1615					
			Luxembourg					
Other anticipated	SAI India		1. Ms. Narmadha R.					
project team			2. Mr. Saurabh Sing	2. Mr. Saurabh Singh				
members (list of								
names and								
organisations)								
	SAI UAE		Shehab Abdullatif Almulla	Shehab Abdullatif Almulla				
			Email: s.almulla@saiuae.ge	Email: s.almulla@saiuae.gov.ae				
	SAI Libya		Mr. Yosef Kalefa Hosen					
				Tel.: 00218913817328				
				Email: ho.yosef@yahoo.com				
	SAI Egypt			1- Mr. Ashraf Mohamed Ibrahim Sharawy (Head of Sector)				
				2- Mr. Mahmoud Refaay Abd El Hafez (General Manager)				
				3- Mr. Hany Hafez Mohamed (General manager)4- Mr. Amr Abd El Mongy Amr. (Comptroller)				
			Email: ircdept@yahoo.					
			ircdept@asa.gov.eg	<u> </u>				

Page **3** of **9**

25 July 2018

Project Proposal

SAI India

PART B: PROJECT MILESTONES

	Stage	Due process milestones				
1.	Project proposal	Start Date	End Date	Expected Time in Total	Comments	
		January 2019	February 2019	60 days		
		N.B. Allow th	ree months between end date	of stage 1 and start date of stage 2 for FI	PP approval of the project proposal	
2.	Exposure draft	Start Date	End Date	Expected Time in Total	Comments	
		June 2019	November 2019	180 days		
		N.B. Allo	w three months between end	date above and start date below for FIPP	approval of the exposure draft	
	Exposure period	Start Date	End Date	Time in Total (not negotiable)	Comments	
		December 2019	February 2020	90 Days		
3	Endorsement version	Start Date	End Date	Expected Time in Total	Comments	
		June 2020	August 2020	90 days		
		N.B. Allow th	ree months between end date	e of stage 3 and start date of stage 4 for FI	PP approval of the project proposal	
4.	Final pronouncement,	Start Date	End Date	Expected Time in Total	Comments	
	including translation into all	December 2020	April 2021	150 days		
	official INTOSAI languages*	OSAI languages* *** Unless other mechanisms have been established, the working group is responsible for translation of the approved endorsement version into th				
		five official languages." (Due Process, page 9). Time must be allowed to obtain the required translations of the endorsement version(s).				

25 July 2018

Project Proposal

SAI India

PART C: INITIAL ASSESSMENT AND PROJECT PROPOSAL

N°	Initial assessment - Mat	ters to be covered (Due Process, pages 6 and 7) ²
C.1.	Explanation of the need for the project	Privatization is an important activity in recent decades, reflecting the governance goals of many countries.Privatization activities across countries have been significant, and it is estimated that, as a whole, the proceeds in the last 15 years have crossed US\$ 500 billion. In general, privatization includes direct divestment by the state, divestment of corporate assets by government-controlled investment vehicles as well as the dilution of state positions in State Owned Enterprises(Public Sector Units/Public Sector Enterprises or other comparable terms elsewhere) by secondary share offerings to the non-state shareholders.The proceeds from such privatisation are utilized as a major source of revenue and to boost infrastructure development. Hence, there is a need to continuously monitor and evaluate the sharing of the benefits and risks; the scheme/modality chosen for privatisation vis-à-vis the objective of the privatisation the effect of privatisation on the cost of services/facilities charged to the public/users the extent to which sale objectives were achieved.
		Seen from another angle, the large share of Government-owned assets and critical role of Government as stakeholder or partner requires that the transparency and accountability in these processes be safeguarded through independent means. Independent professional government audit is, therefore, necessary to (a) determine and attest on the transparency and accountability of the privatization process / project, (b) obtain the lessons learned from each privatization process / project for future process improvement, and (c)ensure that the risks and benefits of privatization are equitably shared by both government and the private partner.

² Please give detailed explanations in the right-hand column or provide references to annexed supporting material

		 Privatization is a highly technical area with a distinct framework of administrative responsibility, legal requirements and regulatory approvals. At the same time, there exist varied stakeholders having competing objectives, elaborate processes and numerous subactivities. However, audit resources to meet the challenges of such an assignment are not readily or easily available. Consequently, it is possible that these activities remain either unaudited or audited superficially. Further, at present, there are no guidelines, which can assist auditors in the audit of privatization projects implemented by government. This gap, in terms of either experience or knowledge, can be successfully filled by the GUID which can ensure a better and more common understanding, to a reasonable level, concerning the approach adopted by the auditors and assist the auditor in providing assurance The format and content of the existing ISSAI 5210 needs to be better aligned with the ISSAI 100. The key messages need to be extracted and updated in the GUID and Statements about 'best practice' need to be well founded. 1. GUID 5210 (Former ISSAI 5210) needs to be restructured and redrafted in alignment with the ISSAI 100 and, 300 and where applicable 400. 2. The GUID is required to elaborate on the 'What' and 'How' to audit in each of the different privatisation schemes, starting from the project development stage to review and approval, to implementation and termination. 3. The GUID will compile and lay down the possible approaches for audit of privatization, to ensure that auditors conduct audit with a better and more common understanding. 4. The GUID will consider the differences in both auditing arrangements as well as institutional structures which prevail across countries vis-à-vis privatisation. However, in doing this, the GUID will avoid overlaps with the relevant SAI organisational pronouncements
C.2.	Description of the categories of auditing or other engagements that will be covered by the new pronouncement(s)	The GUID on Audit of Privatisation is a subject matter specific guidance for conducting audits in the governance of the privatization process (for both national-level and sub-national level State owned Enterprises) to cover all major aspects of the sale that have a bearing on propriety, value for money of outcomes, identifying best practices and lessons from the sale, revenue realization, compliance to national laws and regulations, together with the extent

25 July 2018		Project Proposal SAI India
		to which the sale objectives were achieved. Consequently, it will cover performance audit depending on the stage of audit intervention, scope, mandate and objectives of the engagement. The GUID covers direct reporting engagements only.
C.3.	Description of different types of SAIs / audit engagements that must be accommodated in the new pronouncement	Privatisation is an area of concern for all SAIs, essentially as it relates to the sale of Public assets. However, there may be different levels of involvement of the SAIs in the privatisation process. In certain cases, the SAI may also be required to give certain approvals to the vendors before the sale or simply play an advisory role in such sale, informing the financial standing of the business. Depending on such level of involvement of the SAIs/ access to the privatization process, there may be different steps in the audit process which will be accommodated in the GUID.
C.4.	Challenges, if any foreseen, that would have to be managed by SAIs in implementing the new pronouncements	The public and the legislatures expect the SAI to provide assurance that the sale has been efficiently and properly handled. There is a need for specialised knowledge and skills should be ensured either via in-house capacity or via external experts.
		Limitations in the audit mandate for examining all aspects of the decision to privatise and its ensuing processes may be a challenge which would require clarity at the outset of any audit engagement. Non-availability of standard and consistent policies on privatisation in the concerned Governments would have implications on the implementation of the GUID by the SAI.
C.5.	Explanation of how consistency with ISSAI 100, other existing ISSAIs and oth professional pronouncement(s)will be ensured	her The consistency of the GUID with the other ISSAIs will be ensured by ensuring compliance with the Due Process for the INTOSAI Framework of Professional Pronouncements. The GUID will build on underlying principles of Lima Declaration and fundamental principles of public sector auditing – ISSAI 100. Since this GUID envisages only performance audit engagements, it will be ensured that the procedures, methodology etc are in line with ISSAI 300.

25 July	y 2018 Project	SAI India
C.6.	Explanation of the extent to which it will be possible and desirable to build on pronouncements from other internationally recognized, regional or nationalstandard-setters and, if so, the extent to which supplementary pronouncements are needed to provide clarity on new pronouncement	This GUID will draw extensively from the ISSAI 5210 as per the recommendations given in the report of the Working group to review the existing texts with reference to Privatization. At present, it is understood that there are no internationally recognized, regional or national pronouncements in this area, however, during the process of preparation of this GUID, the latest developments in the area in other countries will be examined as per need.
N°	Project proposal - Matters	to be covered (Due Process, page 7) ²
C.7.	Explanation of organisation of the project describing how project group members will be drawn from relevant sub committees/ working groups/ other interested parties	The members of the Working group will be drawn from the SAI preparing this project proposal (SAI India) and other SAIs who participated in the Initial assessment of the ISSAIs on Privatization and Disaster aid.
C.8.	Explanation of the outcome of the project specifying how existing professional pronouncements may be affected.	The GUID will be a subject matter specific guidance on the Audit of Privatization in the GUID 5000-5999 series. Subsequent to its approval and publication, ISSAI 5210 would be withdrawn. Since another Working Group is working on the GUID for audit of Public Private Partnerships, it would need to be ensured that there is necessary alignment with no conflicting or contradictory statements.
C.9.	Explain the quality processes that will be applied in the drafting process (see Due Process, page 7 and 8) along with the parties that the project group will consult and engage with.	 The GUID will be developed as per the Due Process for INTOSAI Professional Pronouncements and the Drafting Guidelines issued by the FIPP. The Project Team will review the existing ISSAI 5210 to identify all possible amendments and enhancements that need to be incorporated. The Project Team shall also ensure that the draft GUID are consistent with ISSAI 100, 300 and 400. The SAI India sub team would come up with a Draft Outline on the important contents of the GUID, for deliberation amongst Project team members through e- mails, tele-conferences, videoconferences and physical face to face meetings (if deemed required) to finalise the mutually agreed agenda. The Project Team will function on the principle of continuous internal peer review of its products and mutual consultations. For this purpose, each Project Team member would be assigned responsibility for specific inputs with respect to the Draft Outline. These inputs will form the basis of the preliminary draft of the GUID.

25 July 2018	Project Proposal	SAI India
	 The SAI India sub Team would deliberate upon the inputs rece the Project Team members, consolidate and draft a preliminal discussions. This discussion draft would be deliberated upon be through exchange of emails in order to arrive at a mutually ag Afterwards, using modes of communication mentioned above Team will engage in detailed deliberations and review of the or discussions would aim to flesh out the GUID. The working group should seek comments from the PAS as pa process. After finalisation of the draft (Exposure draft), the same would FIPP, before circulation to the larger INTOSAI community for or suggestions. This would enable auditors from different nation the pronouncement in their environment and determine unive the GUID. The suggestions will be incorporated in the final output, i.e. th Version of GUID, which, after approval by the FIPP, would be be INTOSAI web site. The GUID will be put through a language review by an expert 1 adoption by the INCOSAI. The FIPP Liaison Officer shall be consulted on a regular basis to Project is moving on the right track. 	ry document for by the Project Team greed draft. e, the entire Project draft. These art of the quality d be reviewed by comments and hal settings to apply rersal applicability of the Endorsement hosted on the before the final

PART D: AUTHORITIES

PERSON	NAME	SURNAME	DATE	SIGNATURE
Project leader	Ms. Prachi	Pandey		
Responsible Goal Chair	Mr. Rajiv	Mehrishi		